

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,926	02/14/2002	Takashi Yasumura	001514A	5329
23850 75	10/01/2003		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			SHORT, PATRICIA A	
1725 K STREE SUITE 1000	1, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		1712	
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	N
Office Action Summary	09/7/2/61	Jasumura e	or
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
	Short	1712	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address—	•
Period for Reply	21		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE Three	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DA	TE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, experience to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute 	within the statutory minim price SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.	HS _.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	e 17, 200	03	
This action is FINAL.	•	-	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935			
Disp sition of Claims			
(Claim(s) 6, 8-15		is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)		•	on.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s) 6 8-15		is/are rejected.	•
□ Claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)			n
Application Papers		requirement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review PTO-948		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	• •	_ · · · · · ·	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. 	• • • •	• •	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)			
☐ received in this national stage application from the Intern			
*Certified copies not received:		·	
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 🗆 In	terview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		otice of Informal Patent Application, PTO	-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		ther	
Office A	ction Summary		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1712

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoene. The rejection is applied as in the previous Office action. The reference teaches that the graft and/or copolymer mixture is used in amounts of 0.1 to 25 percent. The graft and/or copolymer mixture contains addition polymer and may contain additional polyether free thermoplastic polymer without losing the advantages provided by the graft/copolymer mixture, i.e. improved stability. See col. 8, lines 28-49 and col. 9, lines 36-41. It is apparent from the reference that the graft and/or copolymer mixture stabilizes (compatibilizes) the composition even when additional thermoplastic addition polymer is added in amounts up to 30 percent. Optimizing the amounts of graft and/or copolymer added to stabilize(compatibilize) the resin system with or without additional thermoplastic polymer would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Boesch* 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Application/Control Number: 10/073,926

Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

P. Short

September 29, 2003

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9306

PATRICIA A. SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER